

Palmyra February 22nd 1837

Dear Brother

Your letter of the 23rd Nov) was received, but not till after mine was on its way in which yours was answered in every thing except with respect to Sawyer of whose case I might have answered since the 8th inst. but have delayed doing so for two reasons one of which was to examine thoroughly into the situation of the estate which I was called upon to settle of which you know I must be ignorant being in my minority up to Dec. 28th 1822 previous to which time the whole transaction occurred. All that I know is that I stoutly opposed the compromise that was went into between Sawyer, Father, Graydon and yourself, which was brought about by you and Mr. Graydon, Father himself being rather averse to it, of which I do not depend wholly on my own recollection, for in searching over all fathers papers in my possession in order to throw every light on the matter I found a letter from Mr. Graydon urging him to that compromise with Sawyer, the full extent of which I only learnt on the 8th inst. The other reason was that I thought I might perhaps receive a letter from you in the meantime when I could answer both at once. Sawyer put off trial again at the Nov. Court for what reason I could not learn but I suspect he had some difficulty in getting Doals administrators to appear to testify for him, and without them he was certain of defeat, for there was no other persons who could show that father ever had received any money as administrator and without that is made appear no administrator is bound to account. And I at the first trial had told the one that was then down that I believed Sawyer object was to compel me to go on and collect the ballance of Doals notes off themselves and as was using them as tools to effect that purpose, and I am now persuaded that he has effected some arrangement with them that has made them so silly as to appear and testify in the Case. Mr. Laird the one who did so on the 8th and who is married to one of Aunt Sophia's daughters was surely too deeply interest to act so foolish without a promise of protection, as to testify so clearly against his own interest. I objected to the Court receiving his evidence on the ground of his being interested but the court overruled my objection and received it. In order that you can understand this case I will give the course that has been taken by Sawyer as well as myself. Sawyer had me cite by the Court to file an administration ^{acc't} as Executor of father who was administrator of John Sawyer acc'd. And I in answer to that citation filed a declaration stating the whole facts of the case to be as follows. That John Sawyer acc'd. in the spring of 1812 put his son the present John Sawyer into possession of his farm containing 293 acres strict measure upon an article of agreement according to the conditions of which he was ^{to} give up ^{possession of} said farm to his father on the 1st April A.D 1814

but that before the expiration of said time John Lawyer the father died and that
on the 27th of August 1813 leaving his son the present John Lawyer in possession
under that agreement to April 1st 1814 and has remained in possession ever
since. And that John Lawyer deceased left a will behind him and a widow
and seven children and a Grandson the son of a deceased daughter. In which
will he directed his farm to be sold and the proceeds to be distributed as
follows.—to his grandson Lawyer & McFadden a certain sum and the balance
of his estate to be equally distributed amongst his widow and seven children
taking into view the advancements or monies which he had paid his children
in his lifetime to wit to Joseph Lawyer \$2958.33. to John Boal \$1431.71 p to Sarah
McDonald \$998.95 p to John Allen 852.12 to Joseph Clokey \$800 to Lawyer & McFadden
\$174.67. to Robert Geddes \$266.67. That said will was brought into the Registers
Office and duly proven by R. Geddes one of the Ex. mentioned in it who went
on to execute it and took into possession the personal effects of the deceased &
gave the present John Lawyer notice to give up possession of said premises
according to his article of agreement; which he refused and said the land
was his his father having promised it to him on condition of his getting married
and paying out \$500 to the rest of his brothers and sisters. The first he had
done and was now willing to do the others. Whereupon he entered a
caveat against the will which was entered up for trial by a feigned issue
in the Common Pleas Court of Dauphin County the trial of which was procca-
-ted for seven years when trial was had and it was decided not to bathe
the will of John Lawyer. When Father filed his Executor account in which account
he settled the whole personal estate asking and receiving credit for the monies
paid by John Lawyer deceased to his children on notes, receipts or book accounts
as advancements on their shares of his whole estate real and personal and
asking credit also for the costs he had incurred on the feigned issue in
defence of the will which the Orphans Court of Dauphin County refused
when R. Geddes appealed to the supreme Court which confirmed the
decision on the same ground. In order to show what that was it will be
necessary to set forth a private transaction or agreement that R. Geddes went
into with four of the other heirs. Robert Geddes in order to make himself
anably safe said to those heirs that the decisions of the Courts were less to
be depended upon than a lottery. That they have piled upon one another all
the decisions since Noah ^{flod} as well as few which he had in his ark of the world
before the flood and undertake to decide all cases by those that have
been decided before ^{call} by their recollection of those decisions which they are
pleased to safe precedents or searching through those ages of decisions
to find a case as near similar as possible if their patience or industry holds.

out if not the decide by the rule of thumb without the use of their books as
that middle case must be a separate. I would like if Robert would send me a power of attorney
as our fat Chief Justice was pleased to say when he had made a Capital
to call Sawyer to account for his share of grandmother's estate. It must be acknowledged before
blunder on one branch of this very case. And if they can find a case where
a ~~Pri~~^{Princ}iple of a case.
Resembles the Case before them as near as a Hatter does a Guinea Negro
inter of the third of the land at 80 acres during his life time. Which with interest
or as a Negro does a Native American or of Great Britain or an Orang
will amount to a considerable sum. And I believe that none of the heirs have ever signed
out of the case is decided according to that they all the descendants of
away from their share of that estate at least Mr. Lang joins my hands. And if I should be compelled
Adam except perhaps the last of which there is some doubt, but owing
to pay any money to Sawyer will attack J. McDonald and John Allen Shaw as for their share
of his walking upright and resembling a negro as much a brute or
of these \$1500 costs which will go a great way to pay Sawyer out of his own pocket &c.
more, after much deliberation he is allowed his rights as a man. So that
things and I will sue Boal administrators for Boals share. Only I am to see on
God only how many chances there are for a false against a true decision in
such proceedings whereas in a lottery the Roger has but two to one.

Deciding all cases by comparison and not by their own circumstances; in the very face of
the standing Miracle of two things being alike in all God's Works since the creation
of the World. What one fallible creature of a judge decided to be right a century ago
remains as an infallible criterion!!! to decide other cases which have but a single feature
alike. But I have signified too far for my paper and must set forth^{this} agreement and
its consequences. Father and these four heirs agreed that they would bear equal por-
tions of all costs or expenses that might accrue in defense of said will to the amount
of \$600. Who was to pay the costs that overran the \$600 if it should happen to do so the
article don't say. - Which it has done by \$600. When father's executor account
came before the Supreme Court, they judges decided that father was not
entitled to any allowance for the defense of the will and must pay the costs
out of his own pocket or look to those with whom he articedled. Upon which
that when he took collateral security viz. entering into an article with some of the heirs
he lost his real security on the testator's estate for the defense of the will!!! Was
ever the like heard of!!!! Father in trying to make himself safe by taking back
bail or additional security lost his real security!!!! This decision left him in
a bad situation the balance on his Ex. acc't. being \$1311.11 a third of which being the bails
and the two thirds was to be divided between Mr. Hadden and himself they having received
less than the rest from Grandfather leaving no money in his hands coming to those heirs who had arti-
cled with him leaving him minus \$1500. In order to remedⁱ that he goes and administers and very
foolishly takes an inventory of those very advancements which he had asked and obtained
for as advancements and of course not reflected on his Ex. acc't. When he administered expect at the
heirs would try to evict John Sawyer by an ejectment, when the land would be sold and he would get
the money of those heirs who agreed to pay their share of the costs in defense of the will. When he could not
pay himself, but in both views he was mistaken. The heirs who they form or the will was broken
instead of bringing an ejectment by the administrator to evict Sawyer went and compromised with
Sawyer, the paying them what he pleased and had all done so before the appeal which father
had taken to the decision of the Court setting the will aside could be heard in the Supreme
Court. Which left nothing for an administrator to do and made it useless to have the will
tried in the Supreme Court. When father was persuaded to close the matter by Mr. Grayson
and yourself by a complete transfer of our rights for a mere trifl. Which he and you to
as well as yourself thought at the time you did a disservice but to which I am now taught at a
considerable loss was not the case. Mr. McKinney who saw those papers which you signed
separate except Grayson and you are so badly done that Sawyer wants to put a different
construction on them from what you surely intended. The art in a double capacity viz
as power of attorney and an assignment. Robert gives him power to recover of the Executor
as well as administrator and all other persons for his share of personal effects \$88, but don't
sign his right away of the numerous estate which all the rest do and I can't tell for what he getting
only \$18 less than the others, himself and Grayson authorize him to sue the administrator and all
others but say nothing about the executor. Father for myself gave and Isabel as Guardian gives the
instrument of writing that follows

Know all men by these presents - Whereas John Sawyer late of Lancaster, town of Lebanon County deceased A.D. 1810 & possessed
of real and personal estate leaving his inter-attorneys William Davis & Isabell Geddes attorney and executors of Sam Sawyer, intermixing with
John Sawyer of Lebanon County requesting to me bonds bearing my date hereunto for the sum of one hundred dollars each payable to myself
the use of the said William Sam & Isabell Geddes or their assigns severally at full age with interest from the acts of said debtors as herein
appoint John Sawyer of Lebanon County my attorney for me giving my name in my right as aforesaid to demands, debts and recoveries in my
right as aforesaid all sums of money owing to me from any in the personal estate of said John Sawyer deceased and from any in the personal
estate of Sam Sawyer deceased remainder of the Sawyer aforesaid executors and a bond for the said John Sawyer in trust to you and I
execute full accountances for the same. Thence ratifying and confirming all and whatsoever I have done to set my hand and seal the first day of
August present.

John A. D. 1822. Robert Geddes

Now do I the 1st January & 1st June Halloway the 1st John Sawyer in answering my acceleration advised that there
was nothing to do for an administrator and said his father had a debt of about \$800 as such and was
bound to become for that as much as the \$1311.11 which was the balance against father as executor when he came to try I took a note
against him alleging that he had got already more than his share of the whole estate as of course he done business to benefit
of them other. And also advised that the whole estate contained 295 acres and that it was worth the sum of \$1311.11 & 75 cents each acre so
by that sum and a lot for personal estate was worth as much better than the half of the whole estate and personal property
of what he paid the other heirs and allowing him to keep his brother dead & of the same estate. He continued about this point
that he had bought the land from the heirs & it was no matter how cheap he had purchased and that he was entitled to a share
of personal estate, for a tract of land & all a buildings to the value of \$100 to prove his father had been a man among his neighbors
of a note that Peals had a son Sawyer to Father for a note from him which he took from John Peal for a sum of money which was
not allowed in the will to be a part of his share of his whole estate when it would be a disadvantage to his executors who had shown
it was never intended that it should be collected and that father had got credit for it to that effect on his
account. I say to his executors because he was married to an executors and that if he had collected that sum might
be called on to repay it because the law gave me authority to an Executor or administrator to collect money that had been
given by a decedent a part to his children if always considering such payments in the shape of bonds notes or
check as it is an advancement and not collectible. That the moment money comes from a brother to a child it is
obliged, the father having no control over it more. When Sawyers attorney ^{represented} an alibi that I was liable to a count for
the \$1311.11 which Peal's administrator said he paid father as well as the \$1311.11 which was due on his Executor account
while I contended was already settled for and that if any of the heirs had any claim on it they might have recovered it
as he had done so his son might have more if he wanted it but did not set them off and that I had no right to account
for what was settled. I said when his attorney saw his case he as hopeless he introduced those papers signed
by Robert Geddes for John Geddes and son and my father own power of attorney containing that father had given
him authority to sue himself. I contended that that was perfect nonsense and that father had certainly not
sold any part of the money he had himself collected and had in his pocket at the very time that he died and
was made nothing of the rest of you but that there was such a construction might be forced on Geddes and you
while the Executor or Administrator were bound in their assignment. So that all that was due or transferred
was what was then outstanding and collected which power clearly showed by their giving power over the testator to
Sawyer a clear & evident account for payment of certain amounts and so I said get the will &
decided that I was not bound to account for the \$1311.11 prior to John Sawyer on his account he having received more
than his share of the whole estate; but that I must account for the \$1311.11 received from Peal for
the payment of costs on the trial which tried the will; so John Sawyer as a friend of the children of Robert Geddes
from which place I have appealed to the supreme court; Thomas was found to be the son of James who was born in a
says that what is worth two pence but 31/2 pence hundred 500 or 375, James being ignorant as his letters contain
nothing. He spells Salmons. Salmons on the one side of his letters which is most ungrammatical as shammar does
it and of course the last are the others. What is worth two pence 31/2 pence hundred 500 or 375. Buckwheat 75. Buckwheat 75. 600

۲۰

Everyone's have smidge

W^m. John Geddes
Applicant.

Michigan

110

1786-1787
1787-1788

Cats &c Saturess 37/r. Bue 9650. Post N.Y. June 33. Butter 18. Exp 46. our Newville relations are all well
but Cousin John who is still wearing away slowly. William the printer is well but still weak. Robert G. Grayson is
well and wife make an intelligent man - much more so than his dad or any of his other children. Robert
wants to go to College and this is willing but says he can't afford it and has made a demand on me for funds.
"I think of that Hatch Brook" I gave him to understand that I thought he had enough of Robert's cash money in
his hands to do that. The \$300 which father gave him to cover the cost from Salvo and \$143.00 which I
paid him for Robert's share of his clothes, etc., are yours. So that I kept what he had to stay at home, which I
am sorry for but cannot help the law not allowing the guardian to appropriate money to that effect during
the life of the father who it requires to maintain and educate his children. He would like to have Robert
the following in his hands but I will take care of that, and will not charge a cent for doing so which
it appears he is doing when he has expense after he has received. Thomas Grayson's wife leaves him the
first of April for Illinois as a invalid woman for Newville. I saw Samuel Wilson in Harrisburg and did not know
him. He is so altered in appearance - bloated and looks as if his days were nearly numbered. I have done
very little this winter and don't know what I shall follow this summer. I had hope to engage Sawyer and
was almost certain, and would have done so, but for that damnable compromise when I would have I
would have left this country in a hurry in order to prevent another attack. When I shook off his
grip on his arm right he made a grab and clings on the right side of others, who have blenauice in
this way. He is very feeble since the trial and is failing all the time which only will prevent his taking me with him
for our shores of the 15th & 16th and of the 15th & 16th with interest which will be amount to - You may calculate yourself
and will no doubt ask how his claim cannot touch father's farm - an executor's account not being
a lien on land longer than 7 years - but must look to me entirely. I am not much afraid of having to
pay the money but the curse of being always kept at the law. My health is as usual.
Mr. Wilson told me one day he had passed a law requiring those wanted to purchase land to swear that
it is for themselves and if they held it in joint right to forfeit the whole if that be the case I would
have to buy land. I think I will leave this country in the beginning of Oct next if it is at all
possible but when I shall you I don't yet know. Honeycutt William Goades